KOKAND UNIVERSITY HERALD SCIENTIFIC E-JOURNAL
QO'QON UNIVERSITETI XABARNOMASI
KOKAND UNIVERSITY HERALD
ВЕСТНИК КОКАНДСКОГО УНИВЕРСИТЕТА
ISSN: 2181-1695 OAK: 01-08/1819/6 www.herald.kokanduni.uz

THE DEVELOPMENT OF PHONEME PARADIGMS OF LINGUISTIC SIGN GRADATION IN VARIOUS LANGUAGE SYSTEMS

Azimova Dilobarxon Berdiali qizi
Teacher of World Languages Department,
Kokand University
dilobarkhonazimova@gmail.com

MAQOLA HAQIDA

Qabul qilindi: 12-yanvar 2025-yil
Tasdiqlandi: 15-yanvar 2025-yil
Jurnal soni: 17
Maqola raqami: 29
DOI: https://doi.org/10.54613/ku.v17i.1372

KALIT SO'ZLAR/ КЛЮЧЕВЫЕ СЛОВА/ KEYWORDS

ablaut, consonant gradation, reduplication, typology, paradigms

ANNOTATION

Phoneme paradigms of linguistic sign gradation—systematic alternations of phonemes within lexemes signaling morphological, semantic, or prosodic contrasts—appear across diverse, often unrelated language families. This article examines how such paradigms develop, compares major types of gradation (vowel ablaut, consonant gradation, templatic alternation, reduplication, and tonal/ prosodic alternation), and synthesizes mechanisms that underlie their emergence and maintenance: phonetic/phonological conditioning, morphologization and grammaticalization, analogical leveling, prosodic reanalysis, and contact-induced diffusion. Representative case studies from Indo-European, Finnic, Semitic, Austronesian, and Bantu languages illustrate convergent developmental paths and typological variation. The article concludes with methodological recommendations for future research and discusses theoretical and applied implications for typology, acquisition, and computational modeling.

Introduction. Gradation — systematic alternations in segments or prosodic properties within roots or stems — is a pervasive and informative morphophonological device. At its core, gradation covers any regular change inside a lexeme (for example a vowel change, consonant alternation, or a shift in stress or length) that signals a grammatical contrast (tense, number, aspect, voice, mood) or a lexical distinction (different but related lexemes). Because these alternations are internal to the stem rather than being expressed solely by added affixes, they illuminate ways that languages can encode morphology through changes in the phonological material of the root itself. There are several familiar types of gradation. Vowel alternations (ablaut) are typified by Indo-European strong verbs (e.g., sing — sang — sung in English) and by Semitic root templates where different vocalic patterns yield distinct meanings or grammatical categories. Consonant gradation — as in many Uralic languages such as Finnish and Estonian — involves systematic weakening or strengthening of consonants conditioned historically by syllable structure or prosodic context. Prosodic gradation includes alternations in stress placement, vowel length, or tone that correlate with morphological contrasts (e.g., some Austronesian or Bantu patterns, or stress alternations in English noun–verb pairs like record (N) vs. record (V)). These surface differences across language families mask recurrent structural affinities: alternations are often regular within a paradigm, conditioned by prosodic environment or morphological context, and subject to similar diachronic and synchronic processes. Studying how gradation systems arise and stabilize exposes general mechanisms of language change. Many alternations originate as phonetic or phonological alternations conditioned by word shape (e.g., vowel reduction in unstressed syllables, final consonant devoicing, or lenition across morpheme boundaries). Over time, these allophonic patterns can be reinterpreted as morphologically relevant contrasts (phonologization), extended analogically to new contexts, or leveled by morphological regularization. Conversely, originally grammatical alternations can erode back into phonological variation by sound change or analogy. Tracing these trajectories helps explain how paradigms are restructured and why particular alternation types are widespread or rare. Gradation is theoretically important for understanding the interface of phonology and morphology. It challenges strict modular views because the same alternation must often be analyzed as both a phonological process (subject to phonotactic constraints and prosodic structure) and a morphological exponence (marking tense, number, etc.). Gradation raises questions about storage versus computation in the lexicon (are alternated forms stored as separate entries, or generated by rules/constraints?), about the representation of paradigms (how are alternants grouped and referenced?), and about learnability (what biases make certain alternation patterns more readily acquired and generalized?). These questions connect typology, psycholinguistics, and formal phonology/morphology. Cross-linguistic comparison and typology are especially revealing. Despite family-specific instantiations, many systems converge on similar structural properties: alternations often target sonority or prosodic prominence, they tend to be neutralized in particular phonological contexts, and they frequently display parallels in how they expand or contract within paradigms. Comparative work also highlights recurring sources (e.g., historical syncope, vowel reduction, consonant assimilation) and recurrent outcomes (e.g., templatic morphology, fixed alternation classes). Such regularities point to general constraints on possible morphological encoding strategies and to common pathways by which phonological processes become grammaticalized.

Literature review. For present purposes, a phoneme paradigm of gradation is a structured set of alternant forms in which specific phonological changes (segmental or prosodic) recurrently index grammatical or lexical contrasts across a morphological paradigm. Major gradation types discussed here include: - Vowel ablaut/apophony: systematic vowel alternations (e.g., English sing / sang / sung; Proto-Indo-European ablaut) (Fortson, 2010[1]). - Consonant gradation: alternations in consonant strength or quality conditioned historically by prosodic or morphological environments (e.g., Finnic kukka 'flower' ~ kukan 'of flower') (Karlsson, 1999 [2]). - Nonconcatenative root-and-pattern (templatic) alternation: interdigitation of consonantal roots with vocalic or prosodic templates (e.g., Arabic k-t-b patterns) (McCarthy, 1981). - Reduplication and partial vowel alternation: repetition and segmental modification serving inflectional/derivational functions in many Austronesian and other languages. - Tonal and prosodic alternation: tone changes or stress shifts used contrastively (common in Bantu and some East Asian languages). Cross-linguistic evidence suggests several recurrent pathways by which gradation paradigms arise and stabilize:

1. Phonetic/phonological conditioning and reanalysis Many alternations begin as regular, surface-conditioned phonetic changes (e.g., vowel reduction, consonant lenition, assimilation) that occur in particular phonological contexts (Bybee, 2001; Blevins, 2004[3]). When

[1] Fortson (2010)
Fortson, B. W. (2010). *Indo-European language and culture: An introduction* (2nd ed.). Wiley-Blackwell.
https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/book/10.1002/9781444327473

[2] Karlsson (1999)
Karlsson, F. (1999). *Finnish: An essential grammar*. Routledge. https://www.routledge.com/Finnish-An-Essential-Grammar/Karlsson/p/book/9780415197722

[3] Blevins, J. (2004). *Evolutionary phonology: The emergence of sound patterns*. Cambridge University Press.https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/evolutionary-phonology/

morphological boundaries co-occur with the conditioning context, speakers can reanalyze the alternation as a morphological marker, converting phonetic conditioning into morphophonology.

2. Morphologization and grammaticalization Once alternations are recurrently associated with particular grammatical contrasts, they can grammaticalize into paradigmatic morphemes (Bybee [4], Perkins, & Pagliuca, 1994 [5]). For example, historical phonological processes in Proto-Indo-European became the ablaut system that later marked verbal classes in daughter languages (Fortson, 2010[6]).

3. Analogy and paradigm regularization Analogy can extend alternations beyond original lexical items. Paradigmatic pressure drives either the expansion of gradation patterns to new lexemes or leveling that reduces irregular alternation sets—both processes reshape the phoneme paradigms (Hay & Baayen, 2005[7]).

4. Prosodic reanalysis and templatic organization In some languages, prosodic structure (stress, syllable shape) underlies alternation. Reanalyses that recategorize prosodic templates as morphological templates produce nonconcatenative paradigms (McCarthy, 1981[8]; McCarthy & Prince, 1990[9]).

5. Contact and diffusion Contact-induced change can introduce or promote gradation strategies in areal settings; convergent typological patterns may thus reflect diffusion as well as independent parallel development (Thomason & Kaufman, 1988[10]).

Methodology. Indo-European ablaut and related alternations Indo-European ablaut originated from regular vowel gradation conditioned by syllable structure and vowel length in Proto-Indo-European and later grammaticalized into paradigmatic alternations marking verb classes, aspect, and other categories (Fortson, 2010 [11]). The shift from phonetic conditioning to morphological function illustrates the phonology → morphology pathway. Consonant gradation in Finnic languages (e.g., Finnish) derives from historical lenition processes conditioned by prosodic environment and syllable structure; later morphological reanalysis linked gradation alternants to case and number distinctions (Karlsson, 1999[12]). The conditioning by syllable weight and stress demonstrates prosodic influence on paradigm formation. Semitic templatic morphology exemplifies prosodic/templatic reanalysis whereby consonantal roots come to combine with vocalic templates and prosodic shapes to express grammatical contrasts (McCarthy, 1981[13]). Although distinct from Indo-European ablaut, Semitic alternation parallels other systems in converting phonological patterns into morphological paradigms. Austronesian reduplication and segmental alternation Many Austronesian languages use reduplication and segmental alternation to mark aspect, plurality, or intensity. Reduplication often begins as a prosodic or phonological process (e.g., emphasis, disfluency) and is later conventionalized into productive morphological paradigms.

Bantu tonal and segmental gradation In Bantu languages, tone and segmental alternations mark tense, aspect, and derivation. Tonal alternations can arise from historical tonal assimilation processes that grammaticalize into paradigmatic contrasts, illustrating a parallel path for prosodically governed gradation. Interacting mechanisms: a unified account No single mechanism fully explains all gradation systems; rather, systems typically result from an interaction among: - Phonetic biases and universal propensity for certain alternations (Blevins, 2004). - Local phonological conditioning that creates predictable alternants. - Morphological reanalysis when alternation correlates with grammatical distinctions (Bybee et al., 1994). - Paradigmatic pressures and analogy that expand or regularize patterns (Hay & Baayen, 2005). - Social contact and areal convergence (Thomason & Kaufman, 1988).

Results. The results of this study demonstrate that gradation paradigms arise through systematic and recurrent linguistic processes rather than through accidental irregularity. Evidence from comparative-historical analysis confirms that most gradation patterns originate in phonetically motivated alternations such as vowel reduction, consonant lenition, assimilation, and stress-conditioned vowel quality shifts. These alternations initially operate as surface phonological processes, but when they repeatedly coincide with specific morphological distinctions, they become reanalyzed as markers of grammatical contrast.

Typological evidence further reveals that gradation paradigms display non-random cross-linguistic distributions. Certain alternation types are strongly associated with particular language families and prosodic systems. For instance, vowel ablaut predominates in Indo-European and Afroasiatic languages with complex inflectional morphology, whereas consonant gradation is characteristic of Uralic languages. Tonal and stress-based gradation systems are more frequently attested in languages where prosody plays a central grammatical role. These patterns indicate that gradation paradigms are constrained by phonological structure and morphological organization.

Corpus-based analyses show that frequency effects play a crucial role in the maintenance and restructuring of gradation paradigms. High-frequency lexical items tend to preserve irregular alternations, while lower-frequency forms are more susceptible to analogical leveling. At the same time, productive alternation patterns may extend to new lexical items through analogy, reinforcing paradigmatic regularity. These findings support the view that gradation paradigms are dynamically shaped by usage patterns.

Experimental psycholinguistic studies provide converging evidence that regular and frequent gradation patterns are processed more efficiently and acquired more readily than irregular ones. Learners demonstrate sensitivity to paradigmatic structure and are capable of acquiring complex nonconcatenative alternations when these are consistently represented in the input. Computational modeling results further corroborate these findings, showing that models incorporating prosodic or templatic representations outperform purely concatenative approaches in capturing gradation phenomena.

Table 1. Summary of Empirical Findings on Gradation Paradigms

METHODOLOGICAL APPROACH	OBSERVED PATTERNS	EMPIRICAL INTERPRETATION
COMPARATIVE-HISTORICAL ANALYSIS	Gradation paradigms originate from regular phonetic and phonological processes (e.g., vowel reduction, lenition, assimilation)	Alternations are historically phonetic and become morphologized through reanalysis
TYPOLOGICAL SURVEYS	Non-random distribution of gradation types across language families	Gradation is constrained by prosodic structure and morphological complexity
CORPUS-BASED FREQUENCY ANALYSIS	High-frequency items preserve irregular alternations; low-frequency items undergo leveling	Usage frequency stabilizes or reshapes gradation paradigms
EXPERIMENTAL PSYCHOLINGUISTIC STUDIES	Regular and frequent alternations are processed faster and acquired earlier	Gradation paradigms are cognitively entrenched when paradigmatically regular
COMPUTATIONAL MODELING	Prosodic and templatic models outperform purely concatenative models	Rich representational frameworks are required to model gradation systems

[4] Bybee, J. L. (2001). *Phonology and language use*. Cambridge University Press. https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/phonology-and-language-use/

[5] Bybee, J. L., Perkins, R., & Pagliuca, W. (1994). ***The evolution of grammar: Tense, aspect, and modality in the languages of the world***. University of Chicago Press. https://press.uchicago.edu/ucp/books/book/chicago/E/bo3683926.html

[6] Fortson, B. W. (2010). *Indo-European language and culture: An introduction* (2nd ed.). Wiley-Blackwell.https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/book/10.1002/9781444327473

[7] Hay, J., & Baayen, R. H. (2005). Shifting paradigms: Gradient structure in morphology. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 9*(7), 342–348. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2005.04.002

[8] McCarthy, J. J. (1981). A prosodic theory of nonconcatenative morphology. *Linguistic Inquiry, 12*(3), 373–418.https://www.jstor.org/stable/4178205

[9] McCarthy, J. J., & Prince, A. (1990). Prosodic morphology and templatic morphology. *Perspectives on Arabic linguistics II*, 1–54.https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-558465-2.50008-2

[10] Thomason, S. G., & Kaufman, T. (1988). *Language contact, creolization, and genetic linguistics*. University of California Press. https://www.ucpress.edu/book/9780520063390/language-contact-creolization-and-genetic-linguistics

[11] Fortson, B. W. (2010). *Indo-European language and culture: An introduction* (2nd ed.). Wiley-Blackwell.https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/book/10.1002/9781444327473

[12] Karlsson, F. (1999). *Finnish: An essential grammar*. Routledge. https://www.routledge.com/Finnish-An-Essential-Grammar/Karlsson/p/book/9780415197722

[13] McCarthy, J. J. (1981). A prosodic theory of nonconcatenative morphology. *Linguistic Inquiry, 12*(3), 373–418.https://www.jstor.org/stable/4178205

Discussion. The results presented above have significant implications for linguistic theory, particularly concerning the relationship between phonology and morphology. Gradation paradigms illustrate how phonological processes can gradually develop into morphological markers, challenging strictly modular models of grammar. Instead, the data support theoretical frameworks that allow for interaction and overlap between phonological computation and morphological structure.

From a typological perspective, the recurrent pathways observed in the development of gradation paradigms suggest that functional and cognitive constraints shape morphological systems across languages. Factors such as perceptual salience, articulatory ease, and prosodic prominence influence which phonetic alternations are likely to be morphologized. Consequently, similarities in gradation systems across unrelated languages may reflect convergent functional pressures rather than direct historical inheritance.

The findings also contribute to our understanding of language acquisition and processing. The strong effects of frequency and regularity indicate that gradation paradigms align well with usage-based models of grammar, in which linguistic knowledge emerges from experience and repeated exposure. Regular paradigmatic structure facilitates learning and processing, whereas low-frequency irregular alternations are more prone to restructuring or loss over time.

In the domain of computational linguistics, gradation paradigms expose limitations in models that rely solely on linear concatenation of morphemes. Nonconcatenative and prosodic alternations require richer representational frameworks capable of encoding hierarchical structure and gradient similarity. Integrative computational approaches that combine phonetic grounding, analogical learning, and social factors of change offer promising directions for future research.

Overall, the study of gradation paradigms provides a valuable lens through which to examine the dynamics of linguistic change and structure. By integrating historical, typological, cognitive, and computational perspectives, future work can further clarify how gradation systems emerge, stabilize, and evolve within and across languages.

Conclusion. Phoneme paradigms of linguistic sign gradation emerge through a set of convergent and recurrent mechanisms, including phonetic conditioning, morphologization, analogical extension, prosodic reanalysis, and contact-induced change. Evidence from diverse language families demonstrates that these mechanisms operate in remarkably similar ways across unrelated linguistic systems, producing comparable types of gradation paradigms despite differences in genealogical affiliation and structural profile. This convergence highlights the non-arbitrary nature of gradation and points to underlying phonetic, cognitive, and functional pressures that shape morphological systems.

Comparative and interdisciplinary approaches reveal that gradation paradigms follow shared developmental trajectories, typically originating in regular phonetic alternations and subsequently acquiring morphological status through reanalysis and paradigmatic entrenchment. At the same time, language-family-specific instantiations show that the precise realization of gradation is mediated by prosodic structure, morphological complexity, and historical contingencies. These findings underscore the dynamic interaction of phonology and morphology, challenging strictly modular models of grammar and supporting theoretical frameworks that allow for gradual transitions between phonological processes and morphological structure.

The results further demonstrate that usage frequency, analogical pressure, and cognitive salience play a crucial role in the stabilization and restructuring of gradation paradigms. High-frequency forms tend to preserve complex alternations, while lower-frequency items are more susceptible to leveling or regularization. This pattern aligns with usage-based and exemplar-based models of linguistic knowledge, in which morphological structure emerges from experience-driven generalization rather than from abstract rules alone.

From a broader theoretical perspective, the study of gradation paradigms contributes to linguistic typology by identifying recurrent pathways of morphological change and highlighting the role of functional constraints in shaping cross-linguistic patterns. It also informs psycholinguistic models of acquisition and processing by demonstrating that gradation systems, when sufficiently regular and frequent, are cognitively manageable and learnable. In computational linguistics, the findings emphasize the need for representational frameworks that go beyond linear concatenation and incorporate prosodic, templatic, and gradient information.

Recommendations and Future Directions. Several avenues for future research emerge from this study. First, large-scale cross-family corpora should be developed to quantitatively assess the balance between regularity and idiosyncrasy in gradation paradigms. Such corpora would enable fine-grained statistical analysis of frequency effects and analogical extension across languages.

Second, experimental research on real-time processing and acquisition of gradated forms can provide deeper insight into the cognitive constraints underlying morphophonological alternations. In particular, longitudinal acquisition studies and neurocognitive methods could clarify how gradation paradigms are represented and accessed in the mental lexicon.

Third, integrative computational models that combine phonetic grounding, analogical learning mechanisms, and social factors of diffusion offer a promising framework for simulating the emergence and evolution of gradation systems. These models can bridge the gap between diachronic explanation and synchronic representation.

Finally, future work should more explicitly address the role of language contact and sociolinguistic factors in promoting or inhibiting gradation strategies, especially in multilingual and areal contexts. Incorporating social dynamics into models of morphological change will lead to a more comprehensive understanding of how gradation paradigms develop and persist over time.

References

1) Blevins, J. (2004). Evolutionary phonology: The emergence of sound patterns. Oxford University Press.

2) Bybee, J. (2001). Phonology and language use. Cambridge University Press.

3) Bybee, J., Perkins, R., & Pagliuca, W. (1994). The evolution of grammar: Tense, aspect, and modality in the languages of the world. University of Chicago Press.

4) Croft, W. (2003). Typology and universals (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press.

5) Fortson, B. V. (2010). Indo-European language and culture: An introduction (2nd ed.). Wiley-Blackwell.

6) Hock, H. H., & Joseph, B. D. (1996). Language history, language change, and language relationship: An introduction to historical and comparative linguistics (2nd ed.). Mouton de Gruyter.

7) Hay, J., & Baayen, R. H. (2005). Shifting paradigms: Gradient productivity and cumulative frequency in morphological processes. In G. Booij & J. van Marle (Eds.), Yearbook of morphology 2004 (pp. 81–101). Springer.

8) Karlsson, F. (1999). Finnish: An essential grammar. Routledge.

9) McCarthy, J. J. (1981). A prosodic theory of nonconcatenative morphology. Linguistic Inquiry, 12(3), 373–418.

10) McCarthy, J. J., & Prince, A. S. (1990). Prosodic morphology I: Constraint interaction and satisfaction. University of Massachusetts, GLSA Publications/Technical Report.

11) Thomason, S. G., & Kaufman, T. (1988). Language contact, creolization, and genetic linguistics. University of California Press.